Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,771	MASE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>allowed</u>
(1) <u>Kevin M. Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Scheer.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 22 March 2007	Time: <u>A<</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		
M. was		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that the argued and disclosed invention appeared to be limited to the specific classes of phase change materials of pervoskite oxides and corundum vanadium oxides. The Examiner noted that there was some concern whether Applicants had enablement and/or sufficient evidence of possession for the full scope of the claims. The Examiner suggested amending claims 1 and 13 to positively recite that the phase-change substance was either a pervoskite oxide or a corundum vanadium oxide. Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment..